arXiv:q-alg/9501016v1 14 Jan 1995

SPhT-94-146

On the universal R-matrix of $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$ at roots of unity [1]

T.Hakobyan [2] and A.Sedrakyan [3]

Service de Physique Theorique de Saclay,
F-91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

Abstract

We show that the action of universal R-matrix of affine $U_q sl_2$ quantum algebra, when q is a root of unity, can be renormalized by some scalar factor to give a well defined nonsingular expression, satisfying Yang-Baxter equation. It reduced to intertwining operators of all representations, corresponding to Chiral Potts, if the parameters of these representations lie on well known algebraic curve.

We also show that affine $U_q sl_2$ for q is a root of unity form the autoquasitriangular Hopf algebra in the sence of Reshetikhin.

---

[1] This work is supported by NATO linkage grant LG 9303057

[2] Permanent address: *Yerevan Physics Institute, 375036 Yerevan, Armenia,* e-mail: *hakob@vxc.yerphi.am or hakob@uniphi.yerphi.am*

[3] Permanent address: *Yerevan Physics Institute, 375036 Yerevan, Armenia,* *e-mail: sedrak@vxc.yerphi.am or sedrak@uniphi.yerphi.am*

1 Introduction

The intertwining operators of quantum groups ([1, 2, 3, 4]) lead to solutions of Yang-Baxter equation, which play the crucial role in two dimensional field theory and integrable statistical systems ([4, 5]). It is well known that the most of them can be obtained from the universal R-matrix ([1]) for a given quantum group: the solutions of spectral parameter dependent Yang-Baxter equation can be obtained from the universal R-matrix of affine quantum groups ([6]) and the solutions of non-spectral parameter dependant Yang-Baxter equations can be obtained from the universal R-matrix of finite quantum groups.

The situation is not the same for the case, when the parameter q of quantum group is a root of unity.

In this case the center of quantum group is larger and new type of representations appear, which have no a classical analog ([5, 7, 8, 9]). It was shown in [10, 11] that the cyclic representations lead to solutions of Yang-Baxter equation with a spectral parameter, lying on some algebraic curve. These solutions correspond to Chiral Potts Model ([12, 13, 14]) and its generalizations (for quantum groups $U_q\widehat{sl}_n$).

The formal expression of the universal R-matrix fails in this case: it have a singularities at q is a root of unity. Recently in [15] Reshetikhin introduced the notion of autoquasitriangular Hopf algebra to avoid these singularities. He treated the U_qsl_2 case.

The main goal of this paper is to show that after suitable renormalization by scalar factor the universal R-matrix produces R-matrices for concrete representations.

In section 2, we consider the universal R-matrix on Verma modules of U_qsl_2 for q is a root of unity. We prove that it is well defined and make a connection with the R-matrix of autoquasitriangular Hopf algebra, founded by Reshetikhin.

In section 3 we consider the algebra $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$ at roots of unity. We found the central elements of its Poincaré-Birkoff-Witt (PBW) basis, generalizing the results of [9] for affine case. It appears that new type of central elements appear for some imaginary roots, which have no analog for finite quantum groups. After this we prove the autoquasitriangularity of $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$, generalizing the results of [15] for affine case. Then we consider the action of affine universal R-matrix on $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$- and U_qsl_2-Verma modules. On $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$-Verma modules it is well defined. For $U_q sl_2$-Verma modules (evaluation representation) we renormalize its expression by scalar factor to exclude the singularities. The rest part lead to solutions of infinite dimensional spectral parameter dependent Yang-Baxter equation. We showed that under the certain condition this R-matrix can be restricted to semicyclic representations, giving the Boltsmann weights of Chiral Potts model, corresponding to such type representations, which was considered in [16, 17, 18, 19]. The condition, mentioned above, is on the parameters of representations: they must lie on well known algebraic curve. It is integrability condition of Chiral Potts model.

In the last section we made same type suggestion for the cyclic representations.

2 The $U_q sl_2$ case

2.1 The Universal R-matrix on Verma Modules at root of unity

The quantum group $U_q sl_2$ is a $[q, q^{-1}]$-algebra, generated by the elements $E,\ F,\ K$ with the following relations between them

$$\begin{gathered}[K, K^{-1}] = 0 \quad [E, F] = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \\ KEK^{-1} = q^2 E \quad KFK^{-1} = q^{-2} F,\end{gathered} \tag{1}$$

On $U_q sl_2$ there is a Hopf algebra structure with comultiplication $\Delta : U_q sl_2 \to U_q sl_2 \otimes U_q sl_2$ defined by

$$\Delta(K) = K \otimes K \quad \Delta(E) = E \otimes 1 + K^{-1} \otimes E \quad \Delta(F) = F \otimes K + 1 \otimes F$$

We denote $K = q^H,\ q = e^{\hbar}$, as usually, and consider the $[[h]]$-algebra $U_h sl_2$ with the same defining relations. $U_h sl_2$ is a quasitriangular Hopf algebra, i.e. it possess the universal R-matrix $R \in U_h sl_2 \otimes U_h sl_2$ connecting the comultiplication Δ with the opposite comultiplication $\Delta' = \sigma \circ \Delta$, where $\sigma(x \otimes y) := y \otimes x$:

$$\Delta'(a) = R \Delta(a) R^{-1}, \qquad \forall a \in U_q sl_2 \tag{2}$$

It satisfies the quasitrialgularity relations

$$(\Delta \otimes 1)R = R_{13}R_{23} \quad (1 \otimes \Delta)R = R_{13}R_{12} \tag{3}$$

and Yang-Baxter equation ([1])

$$R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12} \tag{4}$$

Here we used the usual notation: if $R = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$, $a_i, b_i \in U_q sl_2$, then

$$R_{12} = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \otimes 1 \quad R_{13} = \sum_i a_i \otimes 1 \otimes b_i \quad R_{23} = \sum_i 1 \otimes a_i \otimes b_i$$

The explicit expression of R in terms of formal power series is

$$R = \exp_{q^{-2}}((q - q^{-1})(E \otimes F))q^{\frac{1}{2}H \otimes H} \tag{5}$$

where the q-exponent is defined by $\exp_q(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^n}{(z)_q!}$, $(z)_q := \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$.

Note, that to be precise, $U_q sl_2$ is not a quasitriangular Hopf algebra, because the term $q^{H \otimes H}$ in (5) do not belong to $U_q sl_2 \otimes U_q sl_2$, but it is an autoquasitriangular Hopf algebra ([15]). The latter is a Hopf algebra A, where the condition (2) is generalised by

$$\Delta' = \hat{R}(\Delta),$$

where $\hat{R}$ is an automorphism of $A \otimes A$ (not inner, in general). So, although (5) is ill defined on $U_q sl_2$, but the action

$$\hat{R}(a) = RaR^{-1}, \tag{6}$$

where $a \in U_q sl_2 \otimes U_q sl_2$ is till well defined.

For two representations of $U_q sl_2$ V_1 and V_2 one can consider two $U_q sl_2$-actions on $V_1 \otimes V_2$ by means of both comultiplications Δ and Δ'. If R is defined on $V_1 \otimes V_2$, then both Δ- and Δ'-actions are equivalent via intertwining operator $R_{V_1 \otimes V_2} = R|_{V_1 \otimes V_2}$. For general q the restriction of (5) on tensor product of two irreducible representations (in general, of any highest weight representations) is well defined. And all solutions of Yang-Baxter equation (4), having $U_q sl_2$- symmetry in sense of (2) can be obtained from the universal R-matrix (5) in such way.

The situation is different for q being a root of unity. In this case the singularities appear in the formal expression of R.

Recall that for $q = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{N'}\right)$ the elements F^N, F^N, K^N, where $N = N'$ for odd N' and $N = \frac{N'}{2}$ for even N', belong to the center of $U_q sl_2$. In irreducible representations they are multiples of identity. Recall that every N-dimensional irreducible representation is characterized by the values x, y, z of these central elements (and also by the value of q-deformed Casimir $c = \frac{Kq+K^{-1}q^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}} + EF$, which for the fixed x, y, z can have in general N discrete values ([9])).

Although the expression of R-matrix (5) of $U_q sl_2$ has singularities for $q^{N'} \to 1$ in all terms $\frac{1}{(n)_{q^{-2}}!} E^n \otimes F^n$ for $n \geq N$, its restriction on tensor product of Verma modules $M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2}$ is well defined.

Recall that M_λ is formed by the basic vectors v_m^λ, $m = 0, 1, \ldots$, satisfying

$$E v_0^\lambda = 0 \quad F v_m = v_{m+1}^\lambda \quad H v_0 = \lambda v_0, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}$$

To consider the action of R on $M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2}$ we use the formula, which can be obtained from the defining relations (1) ([9]):

$$[E^n, F^s] = \sum_{j=1}^{\min(n,s)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ j \end{bmatrix} [j]! F^{s-j} \left(\prod_{r=1}^{j} [H + j - n - s + r] \right) E^{n-j},$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[a]!}{[b]![a-b]!} \quad \text{and} \quad [n] = [n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

So, for $n > s$ $\frac{E^n}{(n)_{q^{-2}}!} v_s^\lambda = 0$ and for $n \leq s$:

$$\frac{E^n}{(n)_{q^{-2}}!} v_s^\lambda = q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ n \end{bmatrix} \prod_{r=1}^{n} [\lambda - s + r] v_{s-n}^\lambda$$

The q-binomial $\begin{bmatrix} s \\ n \end{bmatrix}$ has a non-infinity limit for $q^{N'} \to 1$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} R(v_s^{\lambda_1} \otimes v_{s'}^{\lambda_2}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{s} q^{\frac{(\lambda_1 - 2s)(\lambda_2 - 2s')}{2}} q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} (q - q^{-1})^n \begin{bmatrix} s \\ n \end{bmatrix} \\ &\times \prod_{r=1}^{n} [\lambda_1 - s + r] v_{s-n}^{\lambda_1} \otimes v_{s'+n}^{\lambda_2} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

is well defined for q is a root of unity.

2.2 The connection with Reshetikhins R-matrix of autoquasitriangular Hopf algebra

This R-matrix can be presented in another form by using resent results of Reshetikhin ([15]). He used an asymptotic formula for q-exponent in the limit $q^{N'} \to 1$ to bring out multiplicatively singularities from $\exp_q((q-q^{-1})E \otimes F)$. The expression of universal R-matrix in this limit then acquires the form:

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2N^2\hbar}\mathrm{Li}_2(E^N \otimes F^N)\right)(1 - E^N \otimes F^N)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\times \prod_{m=0}^{N-1}(1-\varepsilon^m E \otimes F)^{-\frac{m}{N}} q^{\frac{1}{2}H \otimes H} \cdot O(\hbar) \end{aligned}$$

Here $q = \exp(\hbar)\varepsilon,\ \varepsilon = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{N'})$ and $\mathrm{Li}_2(x) = -\int\limits_0^x \frac{\ln(1-y)}{y}dy$ is a dilogaritmic function.

Recall that although the elements

$$\frac{E^N}{(N)_{q^{-2}}!}, \quad \frac{F^N}{(N)_{q^{-2}}!} \quad \text{and } H$$

don't belong to $U_q sl_2$ for $q^{N'} \to 1$, but their adjoint actions

$$\mathrm{ad}(x)a = [x, a],\ \mathrm{Ad}(\exp(x))a = \exp(x)a\exp(-x) = \exp(\mathrm{ad}(x))a$$

on $U_q sl_2$ are well defined in this limit and give rise to some derivations ([9]). Let's denote them by $e,\ f$ and h correspondingly.

The element $\frac{1}{2\hbar N^2}\mathrm{Li}_2(E^N \otimes F^N)$ in the exponent of (8) in the adjoint representation also acts on $U_q sl_2 \otimes U_q sl_2$ as a derivation in the limit $\hbar \to 0$. It can be expressed by means of the derivations e and f as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\hbar \to 0} \mathrm{ad}\left(\frac{1}{2\hbar N^2}\mathrm{Li}_2(E^N \otimes F^N)\right) &= c_{N'}\frac{\ln(1-E^N \otimes F^N)}{E^N \otimes F^N} \\ &\times \ (e \otimes F^N + E^N \otimes f), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_{N'} = \begin{cases} -(1-\varepsilon^{-2})^{-N} & \text{for odd } N \quad (N'=N) \\ (-1)^N(1-\varepsilon^{-2})^{-N} & \text{for even } N \quad (N'=2N) \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Note, that

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}H\otimes H}) = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}(h\otimes H + H\otimes h)} = K^{1\otimes\frac{1}{2}h}\otimes K^{\frac{1}{2}h\otimes 1}$$

is well defined in the adjoint representation.

So, one can write down the automorphism $\hat{R}$ (6) in the limit $\hbar \to 0$, obtained in [15], in the following form [4]

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R} \;&=\; \prod_{m=0}^{N-1} \mathrm{Ad}\left((1-\varepsilon^m E\otimes F)^{-\frac{m}{N}}\right) \\ &\times\; \exp\left(-(1-\varepsilon^{-2})^{-N}\frac{\ln(1-E^N\otimes F^N)}{E^N\otimes F^N}(e\otimes F^N + E^N\otimes f)\right) \\ &\times\; K^{1\otimes\frac{1}{2}h}\otimes K^{\frac{1}{2}h\otimes 1} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Let us now consider the restriction of (9) on the quotient algebra obtained from $U_q sl_2$ by factorisation on the ideal, generated by E^N, i.e. impose $E^N = 0$. Although this ideal is not stable with respect to derivations e, f, h, it is easy to see that it is stable with respect to $\hat{R}$.

Moreover, the left $U_q sl_2 \otimes U_q sl_2$-module

$$I_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} = (U_q sl_2 \otimes I_{\lambda_2}) \bigoplus (I_{\lambda_1}\otimes U_q sl_2)$$

is also stable with respect to $\hat{R}$. Here we denoted by I_λ the left $U_q sl_2$-module, generated by E and $(K-\varepsilon^\lambda)$. This fact allows to restrict (9) on Verma modules, because we have the left $U_q sl_2$-module equivalence

$$(U_q sl_2 \otimes U_q sl_2)/I_{\lambda_1,lt} \cong M_{\lambda_1}\otimes M_{\lambda_2}$$

So, one can derive from (9) the restriction of R on this factormodule is given by the multiplication on [5]

---

[4] For quantum groups one can introduce 4 equivalent comultiplications: Δ_q, Δ'_q, $\Delta_{q^{-1}}$, $\Delta'_{q^{-1}}$ [6]. In [15] the comultiplication $\Delta'_{q^{-1}}$ had been used as a basic one. So, R-matrix, used there, is $R^{-1}_{q^{-1}}$ in our notations and differs from Δ_q-case used here by permutation of q-exponent and $q^{\frac{1}{2}H\otimes H}$.

[5] Note that both h and e are well defined on M_λ in contrast to f

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \prod_{m=0}^{N-1} \left((1-\varepsilon^m E\otimes F)^{-\frac{m}{N}} \right) \\ &\times \ \exp\left((1-\varepsilon^{-2})^{-N} (e\otimes F^N) \right) K^{1\otimes\frac{1}{2}h} \otimes K^{\frac{1}{2}h\otimes 1} \end{aligned} \qquad (10)$$

This expression is another form of expression of universal R-matrix (5) on Verma modules and coincide with (7).

3 The case of affine $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$

3.1 The PBW basis and the universal R-matrix

The affine quantum universal enveloping algebra $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$ is a $[q,q^{-1}]$-Hopf algebra, generated by elements $E_i := E_{\alpha_i}$, $F_i = F_{\alpha_i}$, $K_i = q^{H_i}$, $i=0,1$ and q^d with defining relations ([2]):

$$\begin{gathered} [q^{H_i}, q^{H_j}] = 0 \qquad q^d q^{H_i} = q^{H_i} q^d \qquad [E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij}[H_i]_q \\ q^{H_i} E_j q^{-H_i} = q^{a_{ij}} E_j \qquad q^{H_i} F_j q^{-H_i} = q^{-a_{ij}} \qquad q^d E_1 q^{-d} = qE_1 \\ q^d F_1 q^{-d} = q^{-1} E_1 \qquad q^d E_0 q^{-d} = E_0 \qquad q^d F_0 q^{-d} = F_0 \\ (\mathrm{ad}_q E_i)^{1-a_{ij}} E_j = 0 \qquad (\mathrm{ad}_q F_i)^{1-a_{ij}} F_j = 0 \end{gathered} \qquad (11)$$

and comultiplication

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Delta(q^{H_i}) = q^{H_i} \otimes q^{H_i} & \Delta(q^d) = q^d \otimes q^d \\ \Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + q^{-H_i} \otimes E_i & \Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes q^{H_i} + 1 \otimes F_i \end{array}$$

Here we use the q-deformed adjoint action $(\mathrm{ad}_q x)y := \sum_i x_i y s(x^i)$, where $\Delta(x) = \sum_i x_i \otimes x^i$ and $s:\ U_q\widehat{sl}_2 \to U_q\widehat{sl}_2$ is antipode of $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$, defined by

$$s(E_i) = -K_i E_i \quad s(F_i) = -F_i K_i^{-1} \quad s(K_i) = K_i^{-1}$$

Also we denoted by a_{ij} the Cartan matrix of affine $\hat{sl}(2)$ Lie algebra

$$a_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let's denote by c the central element $c = H_1 + H_2$.

Define on $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$ an antiinvolution ι by

$$\iota(K_i) = K_i^{-1} \quad \iota(E_i) = F_i \quad \iota(F_i) = E_i \quad \iota(q) = q^{-1}$$

As above, denote by $U_h\widehat{sl}_2$ the $[[h]]$-algebra with the same relations but the elements H_i instead of q^{H_i}.

The PBW basis of $U_h\widehat{sl}_2$ is formed by elements $H_i,\ d,\ E_{\alpha_i+n\delta},\ F_{\alpha_i+n\delta},\ E'_{n\delta}$ and $F'_{n\delta}$, which are inductively defined by the relations

$$\begin{gathered} E_{\alpha_0+n\delta} = (-1)^n(\mathrm{ad}_{E'_\delta})^n E_0 \qquad E_{\alpha_1+n\delta} = (\mathrm{ad}_{E'_\delta})^n E_1 \\ E'_{n\delta} = [2]^{-1}(E_{\alpha_0+(n-1)\delta}E_1 - q^{-2}E_1E_{\alpha_0+(n-1)\delta}) \\ F_{\alpha_i+n\delta} = \iota(E_{\alpha_i+n\delta}) \qquad F'_{n\delta} = \iota(E'_{n\delta}) \end{gathered} \tag{12}$$

The expression of the universal R-matrix of $U_h\widehat{sl}_2$ is simpler if one redefine $E'_{n\delta}$ and $F'_{n\delta}$ by means of Schur polynomials ([6]):

$$E'_{n\delta} = \sum_{\substack{0<k_1<\ldots<k_m \\ k_1p_1+\ldots+k_mp_m=n}} \frac{(q^2-q^{-2})^{\sum p_i-1}}{p_1!\ldots p_m!}(E_{k_1\delta})^{p_1}\ldots(E_{k_m\delta})^{p_m}$$

$$F'_{n\delta} = \iota(E'_{n\delta})$$

In order to rewrite all the relations between (12) in compact form it is suitable to change slightly the basis as follows:

$$\begin{gathered} E_{\alpha_0+n\delta} = (-1)^n q^{-2n}x^-_{n+1}k^{-1} \quad E_{\alpha_1+n\delta} = (-1)^n q^{-(c+2)n}x^+_n \\ E'_{n\delta} = (-1)^n \frac{q^{-\frac{c}{2}n-2n}}{q^2-q^{-2}}\psi_n k^{-1} \qquad E_{n\delta} = (-1)^n \tfrac{q^{-(\frac{c}{2}+2)n}}{[2]}a_n \end{gathered} \tag{13}$$

Then the elements $x^\pm_n, (n \in \mathbf{Z}),\ a_k, (k \in \mathbf{Z},\ \mathrm{k} \neq 0),\ \psi_m, \varphi_{-m},\ (m \geq 1)$ and $\psi_0 = \varphi_0^{-1} = k$ satisfy the following relations

$$\begin{gathered} [a_m, a_n] = \delta_{m,-n}\tfrac{[2m][mc]}{m} \qquad [a_m, k] = 0 \\ kx^\pm_m k^{-1} = q^{\pm 2}x^\pm_m \qquad [a_m, x^\pm_n] = \pm\tfrac{[2m]}{m}q^{\mp\frac{|m|}{2}c}x^\pm_{m+n} \end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\pm}_{m+1}x^{\pm}_{n} - q^{\pm 2}x^{\pm}_{n}x^{\pm}_{m+1} &= q^{\pm 2}x^{\pm}_{m}x^{\pm}_{n+1} - x^{\pm}_{n+1}x^{\pm}_{m} \\ [x^{+}_{m}, x^{-}_{n}] &= \tfrac{1}{q-q^{-1}}(q^{\frac{c}{2}(m-n)}\psi_{m+n} - q^{-\frac{c}{2}(m-n)}\varphi_{m+n}) \\ \textstyle\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\psi_m z^{-m} &= k\exp\left((q-q^{-1})\textstyle\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m z^{-m}\right) \\ \textstyle\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\varphi_{-m} z^{m} &= k^{-1}\exp\left(-(q-q^{-1})\textstyle\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{-m} z^{m}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

These relations had been introduced by Drinfeld in [20] and define another realization of affine algebra $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$. The antiinvolution ι in this notations is

$$\iota(x^{\pm}_{n}) = x^{\mp}_{-n} \quad \iota(\psi_n) = \varphi_{-n} \quad \iota(a_n) = a_{-n} \qquad \iota(q) = q^{-1}$$

We choose the normal ordering of positive root system Δ_+ of $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$ as follows:

$$\alpha_0, \alpha_0+\delta, \ldots, \alpha_0+n\delta, \ldots \delta, 2\delta, \ldots, n\delta, \ldots, \alpha_1+n\delta, \ldots, \alpha_1+\delta, \ldots, \alpha_1 \tag{15}$$

Then the universal R-matrix has the form [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \left(\prod_{n\geq 0}\exp_{q^{-2}}((q-q^{-1})(E_{\alpha_0+n\delta}\otimes F_{\alpha_0+n\delta}))\right) \\ &\times \exp\left(\sum_{n>0}\frac{nE_{n\delta}\otimes F_{n\delta}}{q^{2n}-q^{-2n}}\right) \\ &\times \left(\prod_{n\geq 0}\exp_{q^{-2}}((q-q^{-1})(E_{\alpha_1+n\delta}\otimes F_{\alpha_1+n\delta}))\right) q^{\frac{1}{2}H_0\otimes H_0 + c\otimes d + d\otimes c}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where the product is given according to the normal order (15).

3.2 $U_q\widehat{sl_2}$ at roots of unity

For q being a root of unity ($q=\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N'}}$) the center of $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$ is enlarged by the N-th power of the root vectors, as for finite quantum groups:

$$[E^N_\gamma, x] = 0 \quad [F^N_\gamma, x] = 0 \quad [K^N_i, x] = 0, \tag{17}$$

where $\gamma \in \Delta_+ := \{\alpha_i + n\delta, m\delta | n \geq 0, m > 0\}$ and $x \in U_\varepsilon\widehat{sl}_2$.

These conditions for the simple roots $\gamma = \alpha_i$ can be proven by using the defining relations of Cartan-Weyl basis (11) as for finite quantum algebras it had been done in [9]. Indeed, using

$$\Delta(E_i^N) = K_i^{-N} \otimes E_i^N + E_i^N \otimes 1,$$

recalling that q-deformed adjoint action ad_q is a $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$-representation:

$$\mathrm{ad}_q(ab)c = \mathrm{ad}_q(a)ad_q(b)c, \ \forall a,b,c \in U_q\widehat{sl}_2$$

and using Serre relations in (12), we obtain for $i \neq j, \ N \geq 3$:

$$[E_i^N, E_j] = \mathrm{ad}_q(E_i^N)E_j = (\mathrm{ad}_q(E_i))^N E_j = 0$$

Other commutations in (17) for $\gamma = \alpha_i$ can be verified easily.

To carry out (17) for other roots one can try to use the isomorphism, induced by the q-deformed Weyl group. In affine case it had considered in [21]. But it is easier to use the symmetries of Drinfeld realization of $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$ directly. It is easy to see from (14) that the operation $\omega_\pm$ on $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$ defined by

$$\begin{array}{lll} \omega_\pm(x_m^\pm) = x_{m\pm1}^\pm & \omega_\pm(a_m) = a_m & \omega_\pm(q) = q \\ \omega_\pm(\psi_n) = q^c\psi_n & \omega_\pm(\varphi_n) = q^{-c}\varphi_n & \omega_\pm(c) = c \end{array} \tag{18}$$

is an algebra automorphism. As the roots can be obtained by applying $\omega_\pm$ from the simple ones, we finished the proof.

In addition to this, the elements $E_{kN\delta}$, $F_{kN\delta}$ are central for $k \in \mathbf{N}_+$. This can be seen from (14) and (13). These central elements have no analog for finite algebras.

The adjoint action of $\frac{E_\gamma^N}{(N)_{q^{-2}}!}$, $\frac{F_\gamma^N}{(N)_{q^{-2}}!}$, $\gamma \in \Delta_+$ and $\frac{kNE_{kN\delta}}{q^{2kN}-q^{-2kN}}$, $\frac{kNF_{kN\delta}}{q^{2kN}-q^{-2kN}}$ lead in the limit $\hbar \to 0$ to derivations of $U_\varepsilon\widehat{sl}_2$, which we denote by e_γ, f_γ, $\hat{e}_k$, $\hat{f}_k$ correspondingly. The action of automorphism ω on these derivations inherits from its action on corresponding root vectors.

3.3 The Universal R-matrix at roots of 1

Now let's consider the expression of universal R-matrix (16) in the limit $\hbar \to 0$. The singularities, which appear in all q-exponents, are the same type as in the expression of universal R-matrix of $U_h sl_2$. New type singularities appear due to the factor $\frac{kN}{q^{2kN}-q^{-2kN}}$ in the exponent before all term $E_{kN\delta}\otimes F_{kN\delta}$ for any natural k.

But as in $U_\varepsilon sl_2$ case, the adjoint action $\hat{R}$ of R on $U_\varepsilon\widehat{sl}_2\otimes U_\varepsilon\widehat{sl}_2$ is well defined.

Indeed, the adjoint action of every q-exponent term

$$R_\gamma = \exp_{q^{-2}}((q-q^{-1})(E_\gamma\otimes F_\gamma)), \quad \gamma=\alpha_i+n\delta$$

in (16) can be treated as it has been done in $U_\varepsilon sl_2$ case:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\hbar\to 0}\mathrm{Ad}(R_\gamma) &= \prod_{m=0}^{N-1}\mathrm{Ad}((1-\varepsilon^m E_\gamma\otimes F_\gamma)^{-\frac{m}{N}})\\ &\times \exp\left(c_{N'}\frac{\ln(1-E_\gamma^N\otimes F_\gamma^N)}{E_\gamma^N\otimes F_\gamma^N}(e_\gamma\otimes F_\gamma^N+E_\gamma^N\otimes f_\gamma)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $c_{N'}$ is defined by (8).

From (13) and (14) it follows that the operations

$$\hat{e}_k=\lim_{\hbar\to 0}\mathrm{ad}\left(\frac{kNE_{kN\delta}}{q^{kN}-q^{-kN}}\right),\ \hat{f}_k=\lim_{\hbar\to 0}\mathrm{ad}\left(\frac{kNF_{kN\delta}}{q^{kN}-q^{-kN}}\right)$$

also are the derivations on $U_\varepsilon\widehat{sl}_2$, as it was mentioned above. So,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}_{kN\delta} &= \lim_{\hbar\to 0}\mathrm{Ad}(R_{kN\delta}) = \lim_{\hbar\to 0}\mathrm{Ad}\left(\exp\left(\frac{kN}{q^{kN}-q^{-kN}}E_{kN\delta}\otimes F_{kN\delta}\right)\right)\\ &= \exp(\hat{e}_k\otimes F_{kN\delta}+E_{kN\delta}\otimes\hat{f}_k),\end{aligned}$$

gives rise to an outer automorphism of $U_\varepsilon\widehat{sl}_2$.

Finally, the right term in (16) has the following adjoint action

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}}=\mathrm{Ad}(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}H_0\otimes H_0+c\otimes d+d\otimes c}) = K_0^{1\otimes\frac{1}{2}h_0}\otimes K_0^{\frac{1}{2}h_0\otimes 1}\varepsilon^{c\otimes\mathrm{ad}(d)+\mathrm{ad}(d)\otimes c}$$

Here $h_0=\mathrm{ad}(H_0)$ is a derivation on $U_q\widehat{sl}_2$.

So, we proved, that the quantum algebra $U_\varepsilon\widehat{sl}_2$ is autoquasitriangular Hopf algebra with the automorpism

$$\hat{R}=\left(\prod_{\gamma\in\Delta_+}\hat{R}_\gamma\right)\hat{K}, \tag{19}$$

where the product over positive roots is ordered according to the normal order (15).

3.4 The universal R-matrix on Verma modules

Consider now Verma module $M_{\hat{\lambda}}$ over $U_\varepsilon\widehat{sl}_2$ with highest weight $\hat{\lambda}$. It is generated by vectors

$$v^{\hat{\lambda}}_{k_1\ldots k_n} = F^{k_n}_{\gamma_n}\ldots F^{k_1}_{\gamma_1} v^{\hat{\lambda}}_0 \quad k_1,\ldots,k_n = 0,1,\ldots \quad \gamma\in\Delta_+ \quad \gamma_1<\ldots<\gamma_n,$$

where $v^{\hat{\lambda}}_0$ is a highest weight vector:

$$E_\gamma v^{\hat{\lambda}}_0 = 0 \quad H v^{\hat{\lambda}}_0 = \hat{\lambda}(H) v^{\hat{\lambda}}_0$$

As for $U_q sl_2$-case all terms R_γ and K in the product of universal R-matrix (16) are well defined in the limit $\hbar\to 0$. Indeed, there is a well defined action of derivations e_i, $\hat{e}_i$ on $M_{\hat{\lambda}}$ by

$$e_i g v^{\hat{\lambda}}_0 := e_i(g) v^{\hat{\lambda}}_0 \qquad \hat{e}_i g v^{\hat{\lambda}}_0 := \hat{e}_i(g) v^{\hat{\lambda}}_0 \quad \forall g\in U_\varepsilon\widehat{sl}_2$$

Moreover, in the action of (16), on any vector $x\in M_{\hat{\lambda}_1}\otimes M_{\hat{\lambda}_1}$ the term R_γ with sufficiently large γ give rise to identity and only finite number of R_γ survive. In the decomposition of each such R_γ also survive only finitely many terms. So, the action of R on $x\in M_{\hat{\lambda}_1}\otimes M_{\hat{\lambda}_1}$ is well defined

To define the action of the Universal R-matrix (16) on $U_q sl_2$-Verma modules, the spectral parameter dependent homomorphism ρ_x: $U_q\widehat{sl}_2 \to U_q sl_2$ must be introduced [3]:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \rho_x(E_{\alpha_0}) = E & \rho_x(F_{\alpha_0}) = F & \rho_x(H_0) = H \\ \rho_x(E_{\alpha_1}) = xF & \rho_x(F_{\alpha_1}) = x^{-1}E & \rho_x(H_1) = -H \end{array}$$

Note, that in this representation the central charge c is zero. Under the action of ρ_x the root vectors acquire the form ([22]):

$$\begin{array}{rl} E_{\alpha_0+n\delta} = (-1)^n x^n q^{-nh} E & F_{\alpha_0+n\delta} = (-1)^n x^{-n} F q^{nh} \\ E_{\alpha_1+n\delta} = (-1)^n x^{n+1} F q^{-nh} & F_{\alpha_1+n\delta} = (-1)^n x^{-n-1} q^{nh} E \\ E'_{n\delta} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{[2]_q} x^n q^{-(n-1)h}(EF - q^{-2}FE) & \\ F'_{n\delta} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{[2]_q} x^{-n} q^{(n-1)h}(FE - q^{-2}EF) & \end{array} \tag{20}$$

Substituting this in the expression of affine universal R-matrix following [22], one can obtain the spectral parameter R-matrix:

$$R\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) = (\rho_x \otimes \rho_y)R = R^+\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) R^0\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) R^-\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\mathcal{K}, \tag{21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R^+(z) &= \prod_{n\geq 0} \exp_{q^{-2}}\Big((q-q^{-1})z^n(q^{-nH}E\otimes Fq^{nH})\Big) \\
R^0(z) &= \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{n}{q^{2n}-q^{-2n}} z^n E_{n\delta}\otimes F_{n\delta}\right) \\
R^-(z) &= \prod_{n\geq 0} \exp_{q^{-2}}\Big((q-q^{-1})z^{n+1}(Fq^{-nH}\otimes q^{nH}E)\Big) \\
\mathcal{K} &= q^{\frac{1}{2}H\otimes H}
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Now we consider (22) on Verma modules M_λ of $U_q sl_2$ and its behavior at roots of unity.

Note that one can represent the terms $R^{\pm}, R^0$ of universal R-matrix in a more suitable way by performing infinite sum and infinite product in (22). So, we have ([23]):

$$\begin{aligned}
R^+(z) &= 1 + (E\otimes F)\frac{(q-q^{-1})}{1-zq^{-2}K^{-1}\otimes K} \\
&+ \frac{(E\otimes F)^2}{(2)_{q^{-2}}!}\frac{(q-q^{-1})^2}{(1-zq^{-2}K^{-1}\otimes K)(1-zq^{-4}K^{-1}\otimes K)} \\
\ldots &+ \frac{(E\otimes F)^n}{(n)_{q^{-2}}!}\frac{(q-q^{-1})^n}{(1-zq^{-2}K^{-1}\otimes K)\ldots(1-zq^{-2n}K^{-1}\otimes K)} + \ldots
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^-(z) = 1 + \frac{z(q-q^{-1})}{1-zq^{-2}K^{-1}\otimes K}F\otimes E \\
&+\frac{1}{(2)_{q^{-2}}!}\frac{z^2(q-q^{-1})^2}{(1-zq^{-2}K^{-1}\otimes K)(1-zq^{-4}K^{-1}\otimes K)}(F\otimes E)^2 + \ldots \\
&+\frac{1}{(n)_{q^{-2}}!}\frac{z^n(q-q^{-1})^n}{(1-zq^{-2}K^{-1}\otimes K)\ldots(1-zq^{-2n}K^{-1}\otimes K)}(F\otimes E)^n + \ldots
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

and

$$R^0(z) = f(z)\bar{R}^0(z) \tag{25}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \exp \sum_{n\geq 1} \left((q-q^{-1}) \frac{[\lambda_1 n]_q [\lambda_2 n]_q}{[2n]_q} \right) \frac{z^n}{n} \\ &= \frac{(zq^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-2};q^{-4})_\infty (zq^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-2};q^{-4})_\infty}{(zq^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2-2};q^{-4})_\infty (zq^{-\lambda_1-\lambda_2-2};q^{-4})_\infty}, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$(z;q)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - zq^k)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}^0(z) = \exp \sum_{n\geq 1} \left(\frac{q^n + q^{-n}}{(q^n - q^{-n})} \left(q^{-\lambda_1 n} - K^{-n} \right) \otimes \left(K^n - q^{\lambda_2 n} \right) \right) \frac{z^n}{n} \quad (27) \\ \times \exp \sum_{n\geq 1} \left((q^{-ln} - K^{-n}) \otimes q^{-n} \frac{[\lambda_2 n]_q}{[n]_q} + q^n \frac{[\lambda_1 n]_q}{[n]_q} \otimes (K^n - q^{\lambda_2 n}) \right) \frac{z^n}{n} \end{aligned}$$

By performing the infinite sum in (27) one can easy show that the term $\bar{R}^0(z)$ acting on $v_i^{\lambda_1} \otimes v_j^{\lambda_2}$ gives rise to the following expression, which is well defined in the limit $q^N \to 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}^0(z) v_i^{\lambda_1} \otimes v_j^{\lambda_2} &= \frac{\prod_{l=j-i+1}^{j} (1 - q^{-2l} q^{\lambda_2-\lambda_1} z)}{\prod_{l=j-i+1}^{i} (1 - q^{2l} q^{\lambda_2-\lambda_1} z)} \\ &\times \frac{\prod_{l=0}^{j-1} (1 - q^{-2l} q^{\lambda_2+\lambda_1} z)}{\prod_{l=0}^{i-1} (1 - q^{2l} q^{-\lambda_2-\lambda_1} z)} v_i^{\lambda_1} \otimes v_j^{\lambda_2} \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

The scalar factor $f(z)$ (26) is singular for $q^{N'} = 1$. It can be omitted from the expression of R-matrix. So, the regular expression of R-matrix for $q^N = 1$ on $M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2}$ has the form

$$R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(z) = R^+(z)\bar{R}^0(z)R^-(z) \tag{29}$$

Note that it satisfy $R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(z) v_0^{\lambda_1} \otimes v_0^{\lambda_2} = v_0^{\lambda_1} \otimes v_0^{\lambda_2}$. This renormalized expression of R-matrix does't satisfy the quasitriangularity condition (3). The intertwining property (2) and spectral parameter dependent Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(\frac{x_1}{x_2})R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_3}(\frac{x_1}{x_3})R_{\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(\frac{x_2}{x_3}) = R_{\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(\frac{x_2}{x_3})R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_3}(\frac{x_1}{x_3})R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(\frac{x_1}{x_2}) \qquad (30)$$

are satisfied.

Let us consider now the possibility to restrict (29) on finite dimensional semicyclic modules. Recall that the semicyclic module $V_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is obtained by factorisation of M_λ on $I_{\alpha,\lambda} = (F^N - \alpha)M_\lambda$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$:

$$V_{\alpha,\lambda} = M_\lambda / I_{\alpha,\lambda}$$

The R-matrix (29) is well defined on $V_{\alpha_1,\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\alpha_2,\lambda_2}$ if it preserves this factorization, i.e.

$$R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(z)(M_{\lambda_1} \otimes I_{\alpha_2,\lambda_2}) \subset (M_{\lambda_1} \otimes I_{\alpha_2,\lambda_2}) \bigoplus (I_{\alpha_1,\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2}) \qquad (31)$$

and

$$R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(z)(I_{\alpha_1,\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2}) \subset (M_{\lambda_1} \otimes I_{\alpha_2,\lambda_2}) \bigoplus (I_{\alpha_1,\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2}) \qquad (32)$$

The conditions above follow from

$$R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)({\lambda_2}^N \cdot F^N \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes F^N) = ({\lambda_1}^N \cdot 1 \otimes F^N + F^N \otimes 1) R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)$$

$$R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)(x^N \cdot F^N \otimes 1 + y^N {\lambda_1}^N \cdot 1 \otimes F^N) = (y^N \cdot 1 \otimes F^N + x^N {\lambda_2}^N \cdot F^N \otimes 1) R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)$$

Here we used the intertwining property (2) for

$$\Delta(E_i^N) = E_i^N \otimes 1 + K_1^{-N} \otimes E_1 \quad \Delta(F_i^N) = F_i^N \otimes K_i^N + 1 \otimes F_i^N$$

So, one can express the operators

$$R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)(F^N \otimes 1) \text{ and } R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)(1 \otimes F^N)$$

as a linear combination of the operators

$$(F^N \otimes 1)R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad (1 \otimes F^N)R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)$$

(if $\frac{x^N}{y^N} \neq {\lambda_1}^N {\lambda_2}^N$). In the same way,

$$R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)((F^N - \lambda_1) \otimes 1) \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)(1 \otimes (F^N - \lambda_2))$$

are a linear conbinations of terms

$$((F^N - \lambda_1) \otimes 1)R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad (1 \otimes (F^N - \lambda_2))R_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)$$

with the same coefficients if parameters $x, y, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ lie on the algebraic curve

$$\frac{\alpha_1}{1 - {\lambda_1}^N} = \frac{\alpha_2}{1 - {\lambda_2}^N} \quad z^N = \left(\tfrac{x}{y}\right)^N = 1 \tag{33}$$

In this case the factorisation conditions (31),(32) are fulfilled and R-matrix (29) can be reduced to R-matrix $R_{V_{\alpha_1,\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\alpha_2,\lambda_2}}$ of semicyclic representations of $U_\varepsilon \widehat{sl}_2$, considered in [17, 18, 19]. The condition (33) on parameters of representations appears naturally as a consistency of factorisation $V_{\alpha,\lambda} = M_\lambda / I_{\alpha,\lambda}$ with the intertwining property (2) of R-matrix.

Note that the formulae (23),(24),(27),(29) can be applied directly to semicyclic modules, using the constraint $F^N = \alpha \cdot \mathrm{id}$ on $V_{\alpha,\lambda}$.

4 Discussions

Let's consider now the possibility of restriction of the automorphism (19) in evaluation representation (20) to cyclic modules. Recall that their intertwining operators are Boltsman weight of Chiral Potts model ([10]). The cyclic modules are representations of quotient algebra $Q_\xi = Q_{\beta,\alpha,\lambda}$, $\xi = (\beta, \alpha, \lambda)$, which is obtained from $U_\varepsilon sl_2$ by factorisation on ideal $I_{\beta,\alpha,\lambda}$, generated by $(F^N - \alpha), (E^N - \beta), (K^N - \lambda^N)$, $(\beta, \alpha, \lambda \in \mathbf{C})$ ([9]):

$$Q_{\beta,\alpha,\lambda} = U_\varepsilon sl_2 / I_{\beta,\alpha,\lambda}$$

The necessary condition for restriction of $\hat{R}(z)$ to Q_ξ is the constraint on parameters of representation to lie on the algebraic curve, defined by

$$\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\alpha_1}{1-\lambda_1{}^N} = \frac{\alpha_2}{1-\lambda_2{}^N} & \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^N = 1 \\ \frac{\beta_1}{1-\lambda_1{}^{-N}} = \frac{\beta_2}{1-\lambda_2{}^{-N}} & \end{array} \tag{34}$$

We expect that this condition is sufficient also and automorphism $\hat{R}$ can be restricted on some automorphism (outer, in general) of quotient algebra $Q_{\xi_1} \otimes Q_{\xi_2}$, which we denote by $\hat{R}^{Q_{\xi_1} \otimes Q_{\xi_2}}$.

Consider now its action on tensor product of cyclic modules $V_{\xi_1} \otimes V_{\xi_2}$. $\hat{R}^{Q_{\xi_1} \otimes Q_{\xi_2}}$ reduced here to matrix algebra automorphism. Recall that every automorphism of matrix algebra is inner. So,

$$\hat{R}^{Q_{\xi_1} \otimes Q_{\xi_2}}_{V_{\xi_1} \otimes V_{\xi_2}} = \mathrm{Ad}(R_{\xi_1,\xi_2})$$

with some matrix R_{ξ_1,ξ_2}. This R-matrix is nothing but to the Boltsmann weights of Chiral Potts model.

For quotients $Q_{0,\alpha,\lambda}$, corresponding to semicyclic irreps, this suggestion is true.

Note that in case of $q^4 = 1$ there is a Hopf algebra homomorphism between different quotients, as it was observed in [24]. This fact was used there to construct R-matrices of quotient algebras for $q^4 = 1$ from the R-matrix of $Q_{0,0,\lambda_1} \otimes Q_{0,0,\lambda_1}$, which corresponds to nilpotent irreps.

Another question is to extend these results in case of other quantum algebras.

Then we had finished this work, we saw the papers [25] and [26], where the center of quantum Kac-Moody algebras was studied also. As it was observed there the automorphisms $\omega_\pm$ (18) corresponds to translations of quantum Weyl group.

The authors thanks V.Pasquer, J-B.Zuber for helpfull discussions and Saclay Theory Division for hospitality, where this work was started.

References

[1] V.G. Drinfeld. Quantum groups. In *ICM proceedings*, pages 798–820, New-York: Berkeley, 1986.

[2] M.Jimbo. A q-difference analogue of $U(g)$ and Yang-Baxter equation. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 10:63, 1985.

[3] M.Jimbo. A q-analog of $U(gl(n{+}1))$; Hecke algebra and the Yang-Baxter equation. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 11:247, 1986.

[4] M. Jimbo. Quantum R-matrix for generalized Toda system. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 102(4), 1986.

[5] V. Pasquier and H. Saleur. Common structures between finite systems and conformal field theories through quantum groups. *Nucl. Phys.*, B330:523, 1990.

[6] S. Khoroskin and V. Tolstoy. *Funk. Analiz i Prilozh.*, 26:85, 1992.

[7] D. Arnaudon and A. Chakrabarti. Periodic and partially periodic representations of $su(n)_q$. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 139:461, 1991.

[8] D. Arnaudon and A. Chakrabarti. Flat periodic representations of $U_q(g)$. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 139:605, 1991.

[9] V. Kac. Representations of quantum groups ot roots of 1. *Progress in Math.*, 92:471, 1990.

[10] Yu.J. Stroganov V.V. Bazhanov. Chiral Potts model as a descendent of the six vertex model. *J.Stat. Phys.*, 59:799, 1990.

[11] V.V. Mangazeev V.V. Bazhanov, R.M. Kashaev and Yu. G. Stroganov. $(\mathbf{Z}_n)^{n-1}$ generalization of the Chiral Potts model. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 138:393, 1991.

[12] H. Au-Yang B.M. McCoy J.H.H. Perk S. Tang and M. Yan. *Phys. Lett.*, A123:219, 1987.

[13] S. Tang B.M. McCoy, J.H.H. Perk and C.H. Sah. *Phys. Lett.*, A125:9, 1987.

[14] J.H.H. Perk R.J. Baxter and H. Au-Yang. *Phys. Lett.*, A128:138, 1988.

[15] N. Reshetikhin. Quasitrriangularity of quantum groups at roots of 1. preprint hep-th/9403105, University of California, March 1994.

[16] C. Gomes, G. Sierra, and M. Ruiz-Altaba. New r-matrixes associated with finite dimentional representations of $u_q(sl(2))$ at root of unit. *Phys. Lett.*, B265:95, 1991.

[17] C. Gomes and G. Sierra. A new solution to the srar-triangle equation based on $U_q(sl(2))$ at roots of unity. *Nucl. Phys.*, B373:761, 1992.

[18] I. Ivanov and D. Uglov. R-matrices for the semicyclic representations of $U_q\widehat{sl}(2)$. *Phys. Lett.*, A167:459, 1992.

[19] T. Hakobyan and A. Sedrakyan. R-matrixes for highest weight representations of $\widehat{sl}_q(2,c)$ at roots of unity. *Phys. Lett.*, B303:27, 1993.

[20] V.G. Drinfeld. A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras. *Soviet Math. Doklady*, 36:212, 1988.

[21] Y. Soibelman S. Levendorskii and V. Stukopin. The quantum Weyl group and the universal quantum R-matrix for affine algebra $A_1^{(1)}$. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 27:253, 1993.

[22] Y.-Z. Zhang and M. Gould. Quantum affine algebra and universal r-matrix with spectral parameter,ii. preprint UQMATH-93-06 (hep-th/9307008), University of Queensland, 1993.

[23] V.N.Tolstoy S.M.Khoroshkin, A.A.Stolin. Gauss decomposition of trigonometric R-matrices. preprint hep-th/9404038, April 1994.

[24] D. Arnaudon. On automorphisms and universal R-matrices at roots of unity. preprint ENSLAPP-A-461/94 (hep-th/9403110), March 1994.

[25] J.-U.Petersen. Representation at roots of unity of q-oscillators and quantum Kac-Moody algebras. preprint OMW-PH/94-36 (hep-th/9409079), August 1994.

[26] J.Beck and V.Kac. Finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras at roots of unity. preprint (hep-th/9410189), 1994.